Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/806,547	AOYAMA, CHIAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID P. RASHID	2624
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) DAVID P. RASHID (examiner).	(3)	
(2) Fulchend Shende (Reg. No. L0311).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 August 2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)[∑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☑ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was resolved, or any other comments, explantar frameworkships and Examiner identified that the smandment after final on 7.1/19/209 places the application in condition for allowance, and the advisory action sent by Examiner on 7.22/2009 was incorrect.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MITRIVEN. GOOD WERP Section 1304, 18 a sept in the last Office action has already been flex, APPLICATI IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS. OF ROTH THE MAIN GOATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DAYS FROM THE SUBSTANCE OF THE MITRIVEN WAS SUBSTANCE OF THE WITRIVEN. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on versues side or on attached sheet.		
/David P Rachid/		
Examiner, Art Unit 2624		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Intervie	w Summary	Paper No. 20090811